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10 Essential Public Health Services

- Monitor health
- Diagnose and investigate
- Inform, educate and empower
- Mobilize community partnerships
- Develop policies
- Enforce laws
- Link to and provide care
- Assure a competent workforce
- Evaluate
- Research
The Miami-Dade County local public health system’s overall performance ranking score is 67%, which represents Significant Activity.

Performance Overview

- Optimal Activity (76-100%)
  - ES 5: Develop Policies/Plans, 81%
  - ES 2: Diagnose and Investigate, 79%

- Significant Activity (51-75%)
  - ES 4: Mobilize Partnerships, 73%
  - ES 1: Monitor Health Status, 69%
  - ES 6: Enforce Laws, 68%
  - ES 3: Inform/Educate/Empower, 67%
  - ES 8: Assure Workforce, 64%
  - ES 10: Research/Innovation, 58%
  - ES 9: Evaluate Services, 58%

- Moderate Activity (26-50%)
  - ES 7: Link to Health Services, 50%

Two Essential Services scored Optimal, seven scored Significant, and one as Moderate Activity.
The last local public health system assessment was performed in 2012*. Both assessments scored the system in the Significant Activity category overall. The 2017 overall performance decreased in performance by 11% as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.
Essential Service 1

Monitoring health status to identify community health problems

Performance Assessment: Essential Service 1 increased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

- 1.3 Registries: 75%
- 1.3 Current Technology: 67%
- 1.3 Community Health Assessment: 67%

2012: 62%
2017: 69%
Essential Service 2

Diagnose and Investigate Health Problems and Health Hazards

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Essential Service 2 decreased slightly in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

2012: 83%
2017: 79%

COMPOSITE SCORE

- 2.3 Laboratories: 88%
- 2.2 Emergency Response: 83%
- 2.1 Identification/Surveillance: 67%
Essential Service 3 decreased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

- 3.1 Health Education/Promotion: 58%
- 3.3 Risk Communication: 75%
- 3.3 Health Communication: 67%

2012: 86%
2017: 67%
Essential Service 4

Mobilize Community Partnerships to Identify and Solve Health Problems

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Essential Service 4 decreased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

89% > 73%

2012 2017

COMPOSITE SCORE

4.1 Constituency Development

4.2 Community Partnerships

63% 83%
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Essential Service 5

Develop Policies and Plans that Support Individual and Community Health Efforts

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT
Essential Service 5 saw no significant change as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

81% < 82%
2012 2017

COMPOSITE SCORE
- 5.4 Emergency Plan: 100%
- 5.3 CHIP/Strategic Planning: 83%
- 5.2 Policy Development: 67%
- 5.1 Governmental Presence: 75%
Essential Service 6

Enforce Laws and Regulations that Protect Health and Ensure Safety

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: Essential Service 6 decreased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

2012: 83%
2017: 68%

COMPOSITE SCORE:
- 6.1 Review Laws: 81%
- 6.2 Improve Laws: 58%
- 6.3 Enforce Laws: 65%
Essential Service 7

Linking people to needed personal health services and assuring the provision of healthcare when otherwise unavailable

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Essential Service 7 decreased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

73% 2012

> 50% 2017

7.1 Personal Health Service Needs 50%
7.2 Assure Linkage 50%

COMPOSITE SCORE
Essential Service 8

Assure a Competent Public Health and Personal Healthcare Workforce

**Performance Assessment**
Essential Service 8 increased slightly in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

- **2012**
  - 58%
- **2017**
  - 64%

**Composite Score**

- 8.4 Leadership Development: 75%
- 8.3 Continuing Education: 55%
- 8.2 Workforce Standards: 75%
- 8.1 Workforce Assessment: 50%

Colors:
- 8.1 Moderate
- 8.2 Significant
- 8.3 Significant
- 8.4 Significant
Essential Service 9

Evaluating effectiveness, accessibility, and quality of personal and population-based health services

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Essential Service 9 increased slightly in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

67% 2012

58% 2017

9.1 Evaluation of Population Health 56%
9.2 Evaluation of Personal Health 50%
9.3 Evaluation of LPHS 69%
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Essential Service 10

Research for New Insights and Innovative Solutions to Health Problems

PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Essential Service 10 decreased in performance as compared to the 2012 local public health system assessment.

69% 2012

58% 2017

COMPOSITE SCORE

- 10.1 Foster Innovation: 56%
- 10.2 Academic Linkages: 75%
- 10.3 Research Capacity: 44%
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Questions